arXiv:astro-ph/0201113v1 8 Jan 2002

Parity Violating Measurements of Neutron Densities: Implications for Neutron Stars

C. J. Horowitz
Dept. of Physics and Nuclear Theory Center, Indiana University, Bloomington, IN 47405 USA
E-mail: horowitz@iucf.indiana.edu

J. Piekarewicz
Department of Physics, Florida State University, Tallahassee, FL 32306
E-mail: jorgep@scri.fsu.edu

Parity violating electron scattering can measure the neutron density of a heavy nucleus accurately and model independently. This is because the weak charge of the neutron is much larger then that of the proton. The Parity Radius Experiment (PREX) at Jefferson Laboratory aims to measure the root mean square neutron radius of ^{208}Pb with an absolute accuracy of 1% ($\pm$0.05 Fm). This is more accurate then past measurements with hadronic probes, which all suffer from controversial strong interaction uncertainties. PREX should clearly resolve the neutron-rich skin. Furthermore, this benchmark value for ^{208}Pb will provide a calibration for hadronic probes, such as proton scattering, which can then be used to measure neutron densities of many exotic nuclei. The PREX result will also have many implications for neutron stars. The neutron radius of Pb depends on the pressure of neutron-rich matter: the greater the pressure, the larger the radius as neutrons are pushed out against surface tension. The same pressure supports a neutron star against gravity. The Pb radius is sensitive to the equation of state at normal densities while the radius of a 1.4 solar mass neutron star also depends on the equation of state at higher densities. Measurements of the radii of a number of isolated neutron stars such as Geminga and RX J185635-3754 should soon improve significantly. By comparing the equation of state information from the radii of both Pb and neutron stars one can search for a softening of the high density equation of state from a phase transition to an exotic state. Possibilities include kaon condensates, strange quark matter or color superconductors.

1 Introduction

The size of a heavy nucleus is one of its most basic properties. However, because of a neutron skin of uncertain thickness, the size does not follow from measured charge radii and is relatively poorly known. For example, the root mean square neutron radius in ^{208}Pb, R_n is thought to be about 0.2 Fm larger then the proton radius $R_p \approx 5.45$ Fm. An accurate measurement of R_n would provide the first clean observation of the neutron skin in a stable heavy nucleus. This is thought to be an important feature of all heavy nuclei.

Ground state charge densities have been determined from elastic electron scattering, see for example ref.[1]. Because the densities are both accurate and

model independent they have had a great and lasting impact on nuclear physics. They are, quite literally, our modern picture of the nucleus.

In this paper we discuss future parity violating measurements of neutron densities. These purely electro-weak experiments follow in the same tradition and can be both *accurate* and *model independent*. Neutron density measurements have implications for nuclear structure, atomic parity nonconservation (PNC) experiments, isovector interactions, the structure of neutron rich radioactive beams, and neutron rich matter in astrophysics. It is remarkable that a single measurement has so many applications in atomic, nuclear and astrophysics.

Donnelly, Dubach and Sick[2] suggested that parity violating electron scattering can measure neutron densities. This is because the $Z-$boson couples primarily to the neutron at low Q^2. Therefore one can deduce the weak-charge density and the closely related neutron density from measurements of the parity-violating asymmetry in polarized elastic scattering.

Of course the parity violating asymmetry is very small, of order a part per million. Therefore measurements were very difficult. However, a great deal of experimental progress has been made since the Donnelly *et. al.* suggestion, and since the early SLAC experiment [3]. This includes the Bates ^{12}C experiment[4], Mainz ^{9}Be experiment [5], SAMPLE [6] and HAPPEX [7]. The relative speed of the HAPPEX result and the very good helicity correlated beam properties of CEBAF show that very accurate parity violation measurements are possible. Parity violation is now an established and powerful tool.

It is important to test the Standard Model at low energies with atomic parity nonconservation (PNC), see for example the Colorado measurement in Cs [8,9]. These experiments can be sensitive to new parity violating interactions such as additional heavy $Z-$bosons. Furthermore, by comparing atomic PNC to higher Q^2 measurements, for example at the Z pole, one can study the momentum dependence of Standard model radiative corrections. However, as the accuracy of atomic PNC experiments improves they will require increasingly precise information on neutron densities[10,11]. This is because the parity violating interaction is proportional to the overlap between electrons and neutrons. In the future the most precise low energy Standard Model test may involve the combination of an atomic PNC measurement and parity violating electron scattering to constrain the neutron density.

There have been many measurements of neutron densities with strongly interacting probes such as pion or proton elastic scattering, see for example ref. [12]. Unfortunately, all such measurements suffer from potentially serious theoretical systematic errors. As a result no hadronic measurement of neutron densities has been generally accepted by the field.

Relative measurements of isotope differences of neutron radii can be more accurate. See for example [13]. Therefore one can use a single parity violating measurement of the neutron radius of ^{208}Pb to "calibrate" hadronic probes. Then these hadronic probes can be used to measure neutron radii of many other stable and unstable nuclei. For example, (^{3}He,T) measurements of neutron radii differences for Sn isotopes were based on measuring spin dipole strength and a spin dipole sum rule along with assuming a theoretical Hartree Fock radius for ^{120}Sn [14].

Finally, there is an important complementarity between neutron radius measurements in a finite nucleus and measurements of the neutron radius of a neutron star. Both provide information on the equation of state (EOS) of dense matter. In a nucleus, R_n is sensitive to the EOS at normal nuclear densities. The neutron star radius depends on the EOS at higher densities. In the near future, we expect a number of improving radius measurements for nearby isolated neutron stars such as Geminga [15] and RX J185635-3754 [16].

We now present general considerations for neutron density measurements, discusses possible theoretical corrections, outline an approved Jefferson Laboratory experiment on ^{208}Pb and then relate this Pb measurement to ongoing measurements of neutron star radii.

2 General Considerations

In this section we illustrate how parity violating electron scattering measures the neutron density and discuss the effects of Coulomb distortions and other corrections. These corrections are either small or well known so the interpretation of a measurement is clean.

2.1 Born Approximation Asymmetry

The weak interaction can be isolated by measuring the parity-violating asymmetry in the cross section for the scattering of left (right) handed electrons. In Born approximation the parity-violating asymmetry is,

$$A_{LR} = \frac{G_F Q^2}{4\pi\alpha\sqrt{2}}\left[4\sin^2\theta_W - 1 + \frac{F_n(Q^2)}{F_p(Q^2)}\right], \tag{1}$$

with G_F the Fermi constant and θ_W the weak mixing angle. The Fourier transform of the proton distribution is $F_p(Q^2)$, while that of the neutron distribution is $F_n(Q^2)$, and Q is the momentum transfer. The asymmetry is proportional to $G_F Q^2/\alpha$ which is just the ratio of Z^0 to photon propagators.

Since 1-4sin$^2\theta_W$ is small and $F_p(Q^2)$ is known we see that A_{LR} directly measures $F_n(Q^2)$. Therefore, A_{LR} provides a practical method to cleanly measure the neutron form factor and hence R_n.

2.2 Coulomb distortions

By far the largest known correction to the asymmetry comes from coulomb distortions. By coulomb distortions we mean repeated electromagnetic interactions with the nucleus remaining in its ground state. All of the Z protons in a nucleus can contribute coherently so distortion corrections are expected to be of order $Z\alpha/\pi$. This is 20 % for ^{208}Pb.

Distortion corrections have been accurately calculated in ref. [18]. Here the Dirac equation was numerically solved for an electron moving in a coulomb and axial-vector weak potentials. From the phase shifts, all of the elastic scattering observables including the asymmetry can be calculated.

Other theoretical corrections from meson exchange currents, parity admixtures in the ground state, dispersion corrections, the neutron electric form factor, strange quarks, the dependence of the extracted radius on the surface shape, etc. are discussed in reference [17]. These are all small. Therefore the interpretation of a parity violating measurement is very clean.

3 Parity Radius experiment

The Parity Radius Experiment (P-ReX) will measure the parity violating asymmetry for elastic electron scattering from ^{208}Pb [19]. This Jefferson Laboratory Hall A experiment will use 850 MeV electrons scattered at six degrees. The planned 3% accuracy in the approximately 0.7 parts per million asymmetry will allow one to deduce the neutron root mean square radius R_n to 1% ($\approx \pm 0.05$ Fm). The neutron radius R_n is expected to be about 0 to 0.3 Fm larger then the proton radius R_p. Therefore PREX should cleanly resolve the neutron skin $R_n - R_p$.

The target will be a thick foil, enriched in ^{208}Pb, sandwiched between two thin diamond foils. The very high thermal conductivity of the diamond keeps the Pb from melting and allows a high beam current of order 100 microamps. Note, the thin diamond foils introduce only a few percent background. Furthermore, the asymmetry from ^{12}C can be calculated with high accuracy so this background is not a problem for the interpretation of the experiment.

PREX requires some improvements in the helicity correlated beam properties and an improvement in the measurement of the absolute beam polarization in Hall A. This is presently of order 3% and needs to be improved to 1-2%. It should take about 30 days of beam time to get the 3% statistics.

4 Implications of the ^{208}Pb neutron radius for neutron stars

It is an exciting time to study neutron stars. These gigantic atomic nuclei are more massive then the Sun and yet have a radius of only about 10 kilometers. New telescopes, operating at many different wave lengths, are finally turning these theoretical curiosities into detailed observable worlds. The structure of a neutron star depends only on the equation of state (EOS) of neutron rich matter together with the know equations of General Relativity. The equation of state gives the pressure as a function of (energy) density. Densities in neutron stars are comparable to, or greater, then the densities in atomic nuclei. The central density of a 1.4 solar mass neutron star is expected to be a few times greater then the saturation density of nuclear matter, $\rho_0 \approx 0.16$ nucleons per Fm^3.

Likewise the neutron radius of a conventional atomic nucleus such as ^{208}Pb also depends on the equation of state of neutron rich matter. Higher pressures lead to greater neutron radii and thicker neutron skins as neutrons are pushed out against surface tension. Indeed, Alex Brown finds a strong correlation between the pressure of neutron matter at $\rho \approx 0.1$ Fm^{-3} and the neutron radius in ^{208}Pb [20]. This correlation is valid for many different nonrelativistic and relativistic effective interactions. The density $\rho = 0.1$ Fm^{-3} is about 2/3 of ρ_0 and represents some average over the interior and surface density of the nucleus.

Therefore, the neutron radius in Pb has many implications for the structure of neutron stars and several other areas of astrophysics. The common unknown is the equation of state of neutron rich matter. Information on the EOS from a measurement of R_n for ^{208}Pb could be very important for astrophysics.

4.1 Neutron Skin versus Neutron Star Crust

The Pb radius constrains the EOS at normal densities ≈ 0.1 Fm^{-3}. Neutron stars are expected to undergo a phase transition near this density from a solid crust to a liquid interior. We have shown that the Pb radius is strongly correlated with the liquid to solid transition density [21]. A high pressure for neutron rich matter more quickly favors the uniform liquid over the nonuniform solid. Therefore, a large neutron radius in Pb implies a low transition density for the crust. Thus, a measurement of the thickness of the neutron rich skin in Pb helps determine the thickness of the solid crust of a neutron star. We note that both the skin of a heavy nucleus and the crust of a neutron star are made of neutron rich matter at similar densities. Many neutron star observables such as glitches in the rotational period, gravitational waves from quadrupole deformations, and the surface temperature depend on the thickness of the

crust. Adrian Cho has written a short popular article on using this pression measurement in Pb to learn about neutron star crusts [22].

4.2 Pb radius versus Neutron Star Radius

In general the radius of a neutron star R_* is related to the neutron radius in Pb R_n. A large R_n implies a high pressure for the EOS of neutron rich matter and this same pressure supports a star against gravity. Therefore, a larger R_n might imply a larger R_*. However, the radius of a neutron star R_* depends on the EOS of neutron rich matter over a range of densities from near ρ_0 to higher densities. In contrast, R_n only depends on the EOS at ρ_0 and lower densities. Thus, R_n only constrains the low density EOS. Models with different high density behavior can have the same R_n but predict different R_*. Therefore, we find no unique relationship between R_* and R_n [23].

One way to characterize the different information on the EOS contained in R_n compared to R_* is to consider low mass neutron stars. Most, well measured, neutron stars have masses near 1.4 solar masses. These stars have central densities significantly above ρ_0. Instead, 0.5 Solar mass neutron stars are expected to have central densities only slightly greater then ρ_0. We find a sharp correlation between R_* for 0.5 solar mass neutron stars and R_n [24]. This is because, now, both R_* and R_n depend on the EOS at similar densities.

Note, such low mass neutron stars probably don't exist. This is because conventional stars with cores near 0.5 solar masses are not expected to collapse. Thus, R_n contains unique information on the low density EOS that can not be obtained by measuring neutron star radii directly. Furthermore, *measuring both R_n in Pb and R_* for a neutron star* [a] *provides important information on the density dependence of the EOS.*

For example, if R_n is measured to be relatively large, this implies a stiff (high pressure) EOS at normal nuclear densities. If R_* is also measured to be relatively small, say near 10 km, this implies a soft (low pressure) high density EOS. This softening of the EOS with increasing density could be strong evidence for a phase transition of neutron rich matter to some exotic phase. Note, an exotic phase that increases the pressure would not be thermodynamicly favored. There is much speculation on possible high density exotic phases for neutron rich matter. Examples include: kaon condensation, strange quark matter, or color superconductivity.

Neutron stars provide essentially the only way to study cold very dense matter. Relativistic heavy ion collisions can reach high energy densities but not at low temperatures. Thus, if an exotic phase exits at high density it is very

[a] That is probably near 1.4 solar masses.

hard to find experimental evidence. This comparison of the EOS information from R_n and R_* may be one of the few sharp signals. Therefore, it is important to measure *both* the neutron radius of a heavy nucleus R_n, and the radius of a neutron star R_*.

4.3 Measurements of Neutron Star Radii

There are several ongoing measurements of the radius of neutron stars. Most of these are based on measuring the stars luminosity, distance and surface temperature T. If the star were a black body, the luminosity would be σT^4 times the surface area. Thus, the surface area $4\pi R_\infty^2$ and effective radius R_∞ can be deduced. Corrections for non black body behavior can be made with model atmospheres.

Because of the curvature of space in the Star's very strong gravitational field, the effective radius R_∞ is somewhat larger then the coordinate radius R_*,

$$R_\infty = R_*/(1 - 2GM/R_*)^{1/2}, \tag{2}$$

where M is the Star's mass and G is Newton's constant. Some of the light from the far side of the star is bent by gravity and still reaches an observer. This makes the star appear larger. Note, the light is also gravitationally red shifted so that the actual surface temperature is about 30% higher then the apparent temperature deduced from the observed spectrum.

The Stony Brook group has fit the visible and X-ray spectrum of the isolated nearby neutron star RX J185635-3754 with a model Fe atmosphere [25]. Their fit combined with a preliminary parallax distance to RX J185635 of 61 parsecs (about 180 light years) yields a very small radius of $R_* = 6$ km! This radius is smaller then that predicted by any present neutron matter EOS and seems unrealistic. However Kaplan et al. [26] have questioned the parallax distance. They reanalyze the same Hubble optical images and infer a larger distance. For their distance, $R_\infty = 15 \pm 6$ km. Note for a 1.4 solar mass star, $R_\infty = 15$ km corresponds to $R_* \approx 13$ km. This new value is fully consistent with many neutron matter EOSs. However, the error is still large.

Sanwal et al. [27] fit the X-ray spectrum of the well known Vela pulsar with a high energy power law, from the pulsar mechanism, and a thermal component from a magnetized hydrogen atmosphere. They deduce $R_\infty = 15.5 \pm 1.5$ km assuming a distance to Vela of 250 parsec. Finally Rutledge et al. analyze the X-ray spectra of a neutron star in the globular star cluster NGC 5139, for which the distance to the cluster is well known [28]. Their preliminary result is $R_\infty = 14.3 \pm 2.5$ km.

In the near future, we should have better distance measurements to RX J185635 and better X-ray spectra. Also, there will be better measurements on other nearby isolated neutron stars such as Geminga, and more measurements of neutron stars in globular clusters. This will allow checks on neutron star radii measured for stars with different surface temperatures and magnetic field strengths. A reasonable near term goal is a number of neutron star radius measurements accurate to about one km.

5 Conclusion

With the advent of high quality electron beam facilities such as CEBAF, experiments for accurately measuring the weak density in nuclei through parity violating elastic electron scattering (PVES) are feasible. From parity violating asymmetry measurements, one can extract the neutron density of a heavy nucleus accurately and model independently. This is because the weak charge of a neutron is much larger then that of a proton. Therefore, the Z^0 boson couples primarily to neutrons (at low momentum transfers).

These neutron density measurements allow a direct test of mean field theories and other models of the size and shape of nuclei. They can have a fundamental and lasting impact on nuclear physics. Furthermore, PVES measurements have important implications for atomic parity nonconservation (PNC) experiments. Atomic PNC measures the overlap of atomic electrons with neutrons. High precsion PNC experiments will need accurate neutron densities. In the future, it may be possible to combine atomic PNC experiments and PVES to provide a precise test of the Standard Model at low energies.

The Parity Radius Experiment at Jefferson Laboratory aims to measure the neutron radius in ^{208}Pb to 1% with parity violating elastic electrons scattering. This will provide unique information on the equation of state (EOS) of neutron rich matter at normal nuclear densities. The EOS describes how the pressure depends on the density. This information has many astrophysical implications.

The structure of a neutron star depends only on the EOS. There are many ongoing measurements of the radius of neutron stars. These are sensitive to the EOS at greater then nuclear densities. By comparing the EOS information from the ^{208}Pb neutron radius measurement with that from neutron star measurements one can deduce the density dependence of the EOS. This allows one to search for a softening (lower pressure) of the high density EOS from a possible phase transition to an exotic phase for neutron rich matter. Possible phases include kaon condensates, strange quark matter and color superconductors.

Acknowledgments

The work on parity violating measurements of neutron densities was done in collaboration with Robert Michaels, Steven Pollock and Paul Souder. We acknowledge financial support from DOE grants: DE-FG02-87ER40365 and DE-FG05-92ER40750.

References

1. B. Frois et. al., Phys. Rev. Lett. **38**, 152 (1977).
2. T.W. Donnelly, J. Dubach and Ingo Sick, Nuc. Phys. **A 503**, 589 (1989).
3. C. Y. Prescott *et al.*, Phys. Lett. **84B**, 524 (1979).
4. P. A. Souder *et al.*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **65**, 694 (1990).
5. W. Heil *et al.*, Nucl. Phys. **B327**, 1 (1989).
6. B. Mueller *et al.*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **78**, 3824 (1997).
7. K. A. Aniol *et al.*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **82**, 1096 (1999).
8. C. S. Wood et al, Science **275**, 1759 (1997).
9. S. C. Bennett and C. E. Wieman, Phys. Rev. Lett.**82**, 2484 (1999).
10. S. J. Pollock, E. N. Fortson, and L. Wilets, Phys. Rev. C **46**, 2587 (1992), S.J. Pollock and M.C. Welliver, Phys. Lett. **B 464**, 177 (1999).
11. P. Q. Chen and P. Vogel, Phys. Rev. **C 48**, 1392 (1993).
12. L.Ray and G.W.Hoffmann, Phys. Rev. C **31**, 538 (1985). L. Ray, Phys. Reports **212**, 223 (1992).
13. L. Ray, Phys. Rev. **C27**, 2143 (1983).
14. A. Krasznahorkay et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. **82**, 3216 (1999).
15. Patrizia A. Caraveo et. al., ApJ **461**, L91 (1996); A. Golden and A. Shearer, astro-ph/9812207.
16. F. Walter, S. Wolk and R. Neuhauser, Nature **379**, 233 (1996); Bennett Link, Richard I. Epstein and James M. Lattimer, PRL **83**, 3362 (1999).
17. C.J. Horowitz, S. Pollock, P.A. Souder and R. Michaels, Phys Rev **C63**, 025501 (2001).
18. C.J. Horowitz, Phys. Rev. **C57**, 3430 (1998).
19. Jefferson Laboratory Experiment 00-003, R. Michaels, P. A. Souder and G. Urciuoli spokespersons.
20. B. Alex Brown, Phys. Rev. Lett. **85**, 5296 (2000).
21. C. J. Horowitz and J. Piekarewicz, Phys. Rev. Lett. **86**, 5647 (2001).
22. Adrian Cho, Newscientist **2294**, 11 (2001).
23. C. J. Horowitz and J. Piekarewicz, Phys. Rev. **C64**, 062802 (2001).
24. C. J. Horowitz and J. Piekarewicz, to be published.
25. J. A. Pons et al., asto-ph/0107404.
26. D. L. Kaplan, M. H. van Kerkwijk and J. Anderson, astro-ph/0111174.

27. Divas Sanwal et al., astro-ph/0112164.
28. Robert E. Rutledge et al., astro-ph/0105405.